App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 34-26

Previous References
જાહેર 11-24 / 2

(જાહેરાત)

Serial Nos. 1-11

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 11

Part B for September 1927

SUBJECT

Construction of a Jagasala to the Sri Rama Kamba temple at Chandragutti in the Sorab Taluq

G.O. જાહેર 4157
dated 6.9.27

To the Jahg. Comr
" Ch. Engr.
" Comptr

Approved

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mercy.)

NOTES.

File No. 346 5

FILE HEADING.

Construction of an Yagasala & the Sri Renuka Temple at Chandragutty in the Sorab Taluk.

From

The Chief Engineer.

No. 1264 Dated 30 9 1926 Received

Diary No. 139	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Explains how a modification in the design of the Yagasala has been necessitated in view to keep down the cost with the estimate sanctioned thereof viz Rs 3650/- and solicits early approval by forth thereto in as much as the temple authorities are agog to the proposed alteration

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sub? for orders. The proposed change in design of the Yagasala as proposed by the Chief Engineer may perhaps agree to.

We think the proposal of the Chief Engr? may be approved. Rev. Secy)

RA 10/8/26

NOTES—First Sheet.

File No 129/34 of 192

Serial No

4 The sanctioned estimate for the yagasala Rs 3650 The tender of a private contractor to carry as per approved plan is for Rs 4598. It is reported that the work cannot be completed within the sanctioned unless the design is simplified. may be approved as the temple authorities are to be agreeable to the alteration. It is stated that the temple has a lasdik grant of land and an annual income of only Rs 1432.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 13 AUG. 1926 No. 1176.

2nd member)

5 A. Who are these?

If they are Dharmadarsis we get their opinion thro MC MC's own opinion, proposals necessary. What is the balance of the this temple?

13.8.26

16/8

6 Draft Sabt for approval

16/8. 16/8-26 Sec. Secy

2. Lo, Exec Comms

approved Issue Rs 20/8

No 362 dt 20.8.26. Forwarded copy of the advice Sanction

The temple etc

NOTE - Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 44 of 192

Serial No

4 The sanctioned estimate for the yagasala is Rs 3650. The tender of a private contractor to erect and as per approved plan is for Rs 4598. It is reported that the work cannot be completed within the amount sanctioned unless the design is simplified. This maybe approved as the temple authorities are reported to be agreeable to the alteration. It is stated that the temple has a lasdik grant of Rs 142 and an annual income of only Rs 1432.

2nd member) 13.8.26

5 A. Who are these?

If these are Dharmadarsis we may get their opinion thro' M.C

M.C's own opinion on the C.E's proposals necessary.

Wants the balance to the credit of this temple?

13.8.26

16/8

6 Draft Sabt for approval

16/8. 16.8-26 Rev. Secy 16/8

approved issue

2. To, Amar Commrs Rs 20/8

No 3622 dt 20.8.26. Forwarded copy of the above

for information

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 13 AUG. 1926 No. 1176

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mug. File No. 34 of 1926.

Serial No 3

For the Mugrai Commr

Letter no. C. 683 of 25-26 dt 7/10 Sept 1926.

Requests that for information required by Govt the vernacular records connected with the revised Estimate for constructing the Yogeshwar & the Ram Kamba temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk may be sent up to him.

8. The file of vernacular papers required by the Mug Commr were not received with the Chief Engineers letter of the 30th July 1926.

A draft intimating this to the Mug Commr and asking him to address letter for the Thanadar for Dy Commr to whom the revised Estimate is put up for sanction or Govt in lieu —

Put up.

4 To mug Commr No 613 of 25.9.26

States that the Vernacular records are not in this office & requests that the same may be returned for the Dy Commr's use & Submits the Report already sent by this office & also a copy of the same.

Note— Contn. Sheet

693

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 34 of 1926.

Serial No 5

From the Mng. Comr.,
letter no. C. 683 of 25-6 & 22-11-26.
States that as the Dharmadarsi are agreable to the change in design & requests that the Ch: Engr. may be requested to modify the design & estimate accordingly.

11 Referee Member's minutes in para 5.

12. The temple authorities, & the Dharmadarsis, ~~& they~~ are agreeable to the change in design keeping down the cost to the sanctd. amount viz 3651

13. The temple has a debit cash balance of Rs 103-0-1 and Rs 4000 invested in State Loan as on 30-6-26.

14. The R.C. states that the Ch. Engr. may be requested to modify the design & estimate, as proposed, the cost be found by selling the loan bonds

15. Draft letter to Ch. Engr. approving of the modified design & forwarding copy of it to M.C. is put up for approval & other orders

27-11-26

29.11.'26

Second Member

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
9 DEC. 1926
No. 3907

1717

NOTE— Contd. Sheet

WD 01220—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mucr File No. 34 of 1926-27

Serial No.

6. To. Ch Engr No 1138 dy 18.12.26
States that Govt approve of his proposal to modify the design & estimate
To. Mucr Comns No 1139 dy 18.12.26
Forwards copy of the above & states that the Cost of the ~~bare~~ estimate may be found by selling the Loan Bonds.

15
20.1.27

7 Sh. Engr Memo:
From the ~~M.C.~~, ~~letter~~ no. 6426 dy 11.1.27
Forwards copy of his letter to the M.C stating that it is not possible to reduce the estimate amount for the construction of the ~~bungalow~~ in question, except by reducing the dimensions of the building by 4 or 5 ft, & that if there be no objection to this the amount of the estimate will be reduced to the sanctd amount.
17 The sanctd amount is Rs 3650 and the amount of the modified estimate is 3890.
In spite of the ~~same~~ statement that the cost of the modified estimate will be kept within the sanctd amount it is not clear why it has exceeded by Rs 240- Subd for orders as to whether

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No.

an enquiry on the point may be made.

SD 17.1.27 BG 17.1.27

18 The letter was addressed to the M.C. The ~~Exec~~ Ch Engr may be asked to expedite his opinion on the reference

Tank Lee 17/1

2/1

4/1

9 The amount of the same Fd. estimate is Rs 3650. It will be seen from the M.C's letter dt 20-11-26 (SCG) that the Dharmadasis are agreeable to the change in design proposed by the P.W.D to keep down the cost to the same Fd amount. In this office letter No 1138 dt 18-12-26 (SCG) to the Ch. Engr, he has been informed that Govt approve of the modification in design & estimate. In the Ch. Engr's letter dt 31-12-27 to the M.C. now under disposal, he states that if there be no objection either from the Dharmadasis or the Govt to the reduction in the dimensions of the building by 4 or 5 ft, the amount of the ~~same~~ estimate will be reduced to the same Fd. amount. As noted above, there is no objection either of Govt or Dharmadasis,

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
18 JAN. 1927
No 2665

NOTE Contn.Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mug File No. 34 of 1926-7

Serial No.

to the reduction in the pension. So, it is not clear what further opinion of the M.C is necessary in the case.

20 Sr. D. for orders.

8D 24/1/27 8D 24.1.27

21 The letter of the Ch. Engr was addressed to the M.C^r and not to G.O.C. to the M.C^r's record have to be sent to G.O.C. Hence for upon a letter to M.C^r to send up his views.

Await further references. 8D 26/1

8D 27/1

22 Draft reminder to M.C. is subd.

8D 2/5/27

23 Issued 28/5

G R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subject Car to the Chowdeswari temple.

24 Mng. file 34 of 26 on the subject of the construction of a Prasasala to the temple is put up for perusal. It will be seen from the papers of the file that a revised estimate for the work costing Rs 3890 is under consideration by the P.W.D. To meet this charge, it is proposed to sell the investments state loan bonds of the value of Rs 4000, as the temple has no cash balance -

25 A draft letter to M.C is, however, subd -

26 The other matter is one to be dealt with in the Medical Section of G.M. Branch & will be referred to

16/27 [illegible] 27 [illegible]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

mer file 34 of 26-27

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

28 Draft re- the Temple question issue for perusal before issue.
(The other matter will be referred to the Fin Branch)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
25 JUNE 1927
No 7742

Second Member.

8956/2616

29 mmr 26/6/27

30 Issued as amended

29 mmr 27/6

Sl. 9 To. mer. Coccons: No 9 of 4.7.27

Calls for representation enquiring on the above representation

31 Forwarded to the G.M. branch for kindly taking note of the subject & return these papers.

32 Extract relevant letters for the record

5/7/27

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 34 of 1926-7

Serial No.

From the Muz. Comr., letter no C. 683 of 25-26 dt 12-7-27
States that the estimate for repairs to the car of the Sri Renukamba temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk, has been called for from the Bl: Engr & that further action would be taken after its receipt & reported.

33 This letter is a reply to this office letter no 9 dt 4-7-27. If approved, the further report promised will be awaited

34 A draft letter to the Bl: Engr is also subd.

SD 19-7-27 Sd 4-7-27

35 Sd/ 5-8-27 MS 21

~~From~~ To the Bl: Engr, letter no. 166 dt 22-7-27.
From the Bl: Engr., letter no. 1204 dt 11-8-27

D 137

States that the estimate for the construction of a car for the Renukamba temple at Chandragutti has not been recd. in his office & that the M.C. has been informed that the sanctioned estimate for Rs 3650 for 2 yagasala has also not been recd. in his office.

36 The receipt of the estimate for the car will be awaited.

SD 15/8/27 Sd 15/8/27

37 This is normal — Where is the estimate & what steps is it pending subn

MS 17/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 34 — of 1926-27

Serial No.

38 The Estimate is in the Muz Comr's office & may be awaited.

19.8.27

39 Yes mw 20/8

Sur 21/8

From the Muz comr, letter no. C 1339 dt 26 E 27

Requests that the estimate for Rs 3650 for constructing the yagasale for the Renukamba temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk, sanctd in Lr. o No. 342-4/Muz 17-24-2 dt 29-8-24 may be cancelled, with a view that the estimate for Rs 2431 for a car may be sanctd. by him -

40 There seems to be some discrepancy re the invested balance at credit of the institution - In the statement of Balances only the sum of Rs 4000/ invested in 6¼% state loan is mentioned and the Muz: Comr also refers to the same - The D. C states that the invest. ment amount is Rs 5709-15-9 - Again according to the st. of balances, there was a minus balance of Rs 983-10-10 on 30-6-25, but the D.C. states that it was Rs 2898 12-7, which seems to be a mistake - But it does not seem necessa...

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mer2 File No. 34 of 1926-27

Serial No.

to point out these to the M.C., as he only wants Govt. to cancel the sanctioned estimate for the Yagasala, with a view to sanction the ~~cost~~ estimate for Rs 2431 for a car, which he is competent to do himself.

41 Draft ~~sanction~~ cancelling the sanctd estimate is put up for approval.

SD 29/8/27 Sdb 1/9/27

Sd/ 1/9

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
1 SEP. 1927
No 1201

Secd Member)

3149/28

42. Appd. ~~Mer~~ The 5th difference on balance may be noted and whatever mistake there is set right now

43 Done abt— Abt separately regarding discrepancy in the balance Mud 2/9

31/11 To, Mun Commr, Ch Engr. & Comptr No 415-7 & 6.9.27

Cancels the Sanctd estimate for the Yagasala for Rs 3,650/- & approves Mun's proposal for sanctioning the estimate for the car of Ulsoor temple

13/9/27

WD 0321—GPB—60 000—24 5 27

WD 1036—GPB—5000—16-12-25

No. 1264

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,.. 30.Jul.y..... *1926* .

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. JUL 1926 MUZRAI

GEN. & REV. SECTT. FROM BR 30. JUL. 1926 To G. D. SECTION.

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

£1139
mur 34-26-1

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

SIR

Construction of an Yagasala to the Sri Renukamba Temple at Chandragutty.

With reference to G.O.No.342-4/Muz.17-24-2 dated the 29th August 1924 sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs 3,650 for the construction of an Yagasala to the Sri Renukamba Temple at Chandragutti in the Sorab Taluk and directing that the work be carried out by the P.W.D. expeditiously, I have the honour to inform you that the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division to whom the sanctioned estimate and plan were referred to for needful being done, has now reported that tenders for the work were invited in the Mysore Gazette of the 5th October 1924 but no tender was received in response to the Notification. The Sub Division officer however, succeeded in securing a contractor and the amount as per rates furnished by him is estimated at Rs 4,595. So a revised estimate for this amount has been prepared by the Executive Engineer and forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner as required by standing orders. When a report to this effect was received in this office, the Executive Engineer was requested to report whether in order to keep the cost within the sanctioned estimate amount, the work could not be carried out departmentally. In reply a report has been received from the Executive Engineer enclosing a copy of letter No.265 dated the 18th April 1926 received from the Sub Division reporting that, for reasons given, it is not

possible to carry out work departmentally with materials which are not available locally and with ornamental details such as cut stone pillars which cannot be done by local labour, but that the work can be completed at a cost not exceeding the sanctioned amount if the design is modified so as to allow ~~materials~~ of the use of local materials and with simpler workmanship. Consequently the Executive Engineer has been asked to modify the sanctioned estimate providing therein local materials only and with simple Mantap design standing on masonry or slabbed pillars. It is also reported that the temple authorities are agreeable to the alteration now proposed. If Government also its approve of the suggestion, the modified estimate will, on receipt in this office be scrutinised and sent up for sanction.

The favour of very early orders is solicited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

29-7-24

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

APP. F VI. G1.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Mesp

File No. 24-26.

Seria No. 2

No. 362 Rue one

To The Merzai Comm.

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith
copy of the chief Engineer's letter No. 1264
of the 30th July 1926 soliciting approval
to certain alterations in the
for the reconstruction of the
Yagavalu to the Rowthulapudi
bridge at Chandragiri in the Srikalahasti
Taluk, I am directed to request
you to kindly state if no. ... the
"bridge authorities" referred to
in the last ~~Section~~ portion of the Engr's
reports above,
of the ... are

P. T. 6

over

I am to request that if there are Dharmadarsees for the temple, their opinion in the matter of the Chief Engineer's modified proposals may be obtained and ~~submitted~~ to forth with for your own opinion on ~~the Chief Engineer's proposals~~ thereon

The balance due out of the Credit of this temple may also be provided for the information of Govt

I have &c

16/8

Secretary to Govt
Rev Dept
20/8

16.8.26

a 5838a.26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. 17

Dated Bangalore, 7th/13 September 1926.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

REV. SECRETARIAT
14 SEP 1926
MUZRAI

& REV. SECTT.
BR To
13 SEP. 1926
D. SECTION.

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.362/Muz.34-26-2 dated 25th August 1926 re the revised estimate for constructing the Yagasala to the Renukamba temple at Chennagutti Sorab Taluk I have the honor to state that the revised estimate for Rs.4595/- received with Shimoga District Deputy Commissioner's no.C.340/25-26 dated 27th January 1926 was returned to him with this office no.C.383 of 25-26 dated 9th February 1926 for pointing out the source from which the cost has to be met as the temple had debit balance. All further correspondence in the matter seems to have taken place between the Chief Engineer and the Executive Engineer. Since the vernacular records pertaining to the case have not been sent with your letter under reply and in the absence of the same it may not be possible to ascertain who the temple authorities referred to in the Chief Engineer's letter are, I request the early transmission of the same for a reference being made to the Deputy Commissioner in the matter and information required by Government obtained.

The institution had a debit balance of Rs.103-0-1 on 30th June 1926 and Rs.4000/- invested in 6% loan as per accounts kept in his office. The balance as verified with the Comptroller's

figures

P.T.O.

figures will be intimated in due course.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram

Muzrai Commissioner.

[P. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Revenue

File No. 21 26

Seria No. 14

No. 612

To The Mudaliar Commr

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C 683/1926-26 dated the 7th September 1926. requesting that Vernacular records connected with the revised estimate for the Construction of the Yagaswara & the Rama Kanta temples at Chandragiri, Chittoor District referred to in the Chief Engineer's letter No. 764 dated 30.8.26, I am directed to state that

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

the vernacular records referred to
have not been received in this
office and to request that
the same may be obtained
from either the Dy Comr Shimoga
or the Chief Engineer and the
information called for in this
office Letter No 362/Mug 34-26. 2d 25. 8.26.
furnished at an early date

Yours &c

17/9

for Secretary to Govt

Rec'd 18th

No. 583 Ex file
25h6 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 20th November 1926.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore. 0693

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with Revenue Secretary's letter No.613/Muz.54-26-4 dated 20th September 1926 re modifying the design for constructing yagasala to the Sri Ranganatha temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk, I have the honor to state that the temple authorities referred to in the Chief Engineer's letter are the ---- Dharmadarsis of the temple and they are stated to be agreeable to the change in design proposed vide Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz. I A.C.532/26-27 dated 5th November 1926 copy of which is herein ----- enclosed.

It is ascertained from the Deputy Commissioner that the amount of the original sanctioned estimate viz., Rs.3650/- has not been transferred to the credit of the Public Works Department. The ---- Institution has a debit cash balance of Rs.105-0-1 and Rs.4000 ---- invested in 6% State Loan on 30-6-1926.

In the circumstance that the Dharmadarsis are agreeable to the change in design, I request that the Chief Engineer may be requested to modify the design and estimate accordingly, the cost being found by selling the loan bonds.

The records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter are enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.Muz.1-A.C.582/26-27 dated 1/3th November 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Yagasala-sri Renukamba temple. Chendragutti construction of

"With reference to your letter No.663/25-26 dated 27-10-26 re the above, I have the honor to state that "the temple authorities referred to in the Chief Engineer's letter are Dharmadarsies and they are agreeable to the modifications in the estimate proposed by the Public Works Department (vide copy of their resolution dated 9-9-26 received with the report on Gl.3598 dated 16-9-26 from the Sub Division Officer, Sagar Sub Division.

2. The Executive Engineer has been requested to return the -- vernacular file and it will be sent on its receipt. The sanctioned and the proposed estimate are herewith submitted."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

APP VI. Gl.].

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Secr.

File No 34-26

Serial No. 2

No. 1138

Diary No of letter replied to. 693

To

The Chief Engr. of Mysore,
Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(May)
the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue..... 18/12/26

Signed by... Mr. M. V. Iyengar

With reference to your letter no. 1264 & 30-7-1926 stating that the work of constructing a yagasala to the Sri Renukamba Temple at Bhandragulli in the Sorab Taluk, according to the estimate for Rs 3650, sanctd in G.O. No. 342-4/Mu;17 2u & 29-8-24, can be completed at a cost not exceeding the same td amount, if the design is modified so as to allow of the use of local materials,

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

and simpler workmanship, and that the Dharmadarsis of the temple are agreeable to the change in design, I am directed to state that Govt. approve of your proposal to modify the design and estimate accordingly.

I have etc

MW 9/11 Secretary Finl Deptt 29.11.26

27.11.26

Copy of the above, forwarded, with compliments, to the Muz: Comr for information, with refce to the correspondence ending with his letter No. C. 683 of 25-26 dt 20/22-11-26. The cost of the estimate may, as proposed, be found by selling the Loan bonds.

By order

Secretary
Finl Deptt

MW 9/11

29.11.26

27.11.26

Mun 6/12/26

No. 1139 15-12-2

40566

25

WD 1036—GPB—5000—16-12-25

No. 6425

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 11/1/1927

FROM

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

TO

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 12 MUZRAI D932

The Muzrai Commissioner

in ysore,

B A N G A L O R E .

Muz 34-26-7

SIR

With reference to your letter No. C.666 of 26-26 dated the 22nd December 1926, enquiring if the amount of the modified estimated for the construction of the Yagasala to Sri Renukamba Temple at Chandragutti in the Sorab Taluk cannot be kept within the original sanctioned amount, I have the honor to inform you that the modified estimate has been prepared as economically as possible and as such it is not possible to effect any reduction in the amount, except by reducing the dimensions of the building by 4 or 5 feet. If there be no objection either from the Dharmadharsis or the Government to this, the amount of the estimate will be reduced to the sanctioned amount. The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith returned.

GEN & REV. SECTT. 11 JAN 1927 G.O SECTION

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- J. BHORE,

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE.

N.J.P.

No. 6426

Dated // January 1927.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, for information, with reference to his letter No.1138/Muz.34-26-6 dated 18th December 1926.

2. The amount of the modified estimate is Rs. 3,890/-

10-1-27

N.J.P.

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....932.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mny)
the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Enclosures to his letter no. 6883 & 22-11-26 returned on 15 & 27 to Mr. K. Narayanacharlu - 8d - 15/8/27

Section Mny

File No. 36 - 26

Serial No 4

No. 2164. & 7-5-27

To

The Munsif Court in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the Chief Engineer's letter no. 6425 & 11-1-27 addressed to you in the matter of the estimate for the construction of the Yagasala to Sri Renukamba temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk; and to enquire ~~when~~ when the estimate may be expected to be subd for sanction.

I have etc
for Secretary to Govt
Pl. Deptt

8d
2/5/27

over

No. C 683/25-26

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, Dated the 18th May 192 7

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,

MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
21 MAY 1927
BANGALORE

D. 1687

To

R. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., P.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 2104 Muz. 34-26 dated 7th May 1927 requesting to be informed as to when the estimate for the ~~xxxxxx~~ construction of Yagasala to the Sri Renukamba Temple at Chendragutti, Sorab Taluk, would be submitted, I have the honor to state that the estimate modified by the Chief Engineer slightly exceeded the sanction amount and so it was sent to the Deputy Commissioner to ascertain and report the views of the Dharmadarsis. In the mean while the Deputy Commissioner in submitting another estimate for Rs. 2,431/- for car repairs, reported that the Yagasala work might be postponed for some ti as the car work was urgent and as there was not sufficient amount for both works. He has been requested to send the sanctioned estimate fo Yagasala work for being cancelled before the estimate for the car is sanctioned and he has been again reminded to return the estimate early.

On receipt of the estimate from the Deputy Commissioner furthe communication will be made.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station)

This is a reply to reminder. The estimate for the temple car ~~was~~ is proposed to be ~~VSM~~. sanctd. If approved it will be awaited.

22/21.5.27

21/5/27

From

JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore

No. 11397 *Dated* 23 May 1927.

To

B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.
Financial Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

DEN & REV. SECRET
26 MAY 1927
MUZRAI
D 1701

Sir,

I have the honour to forward copy of letter No. 11356 dated the 23 May 1927, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, for information, in continuation of this office No.6425 dated the 11th January 1927.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

HRR/20th.

WD 1036—GPB—5000—16-12-25

No. 11397

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,.....23...May.....*1927.*

FROM

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR

In continuation of this office No.6425 dated the 11th January 1927, re the estimate for Rs.3,890/- for constructing Yagasala to Sri Renukamba Temple at Chandragutty, Sorab Taluk, I have the honor to state that it is ~~kimx~~ since reported the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, that the construction of the Yagasala in question was not start for want of funds and sanction to the modified estimate.

2. In this connection, reference is invited to Shimoga District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz.1.Ac.609/26-27 dated the 28th February 1927, to your address stating that this work may be deferred.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) J. Bhore

~~For~~ Chief Engineer of Mysore.

"Copy"
Assistant to the Acting Engineer of Mysore

APP. F. VI. G1.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mucr

File No. 34-26

Serial No. 9.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 9

To

The Munsif Court in
Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mucr)

the 192

Date of issue 4-7-27

Signed by Mr M. Venkatesa Iyengar

Sir,

In continuation with
reference to this office letter
no. 2164/Mucr 34-26 dt 7-5-27 regarding a
revised estimate for the construc
tion of a Yagasala to Sri Renu-
kamba temple at Bhandr
Sorab Taluk, I am directed
state that it is represen-
by Mr. Nadig Bhaskar Rao
Sorab that a car is re
for the temple and that
it
is money at ~~the~~ credi

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

and to request that
matter may be enquired
and a report subd to Govt
at early date.

I have etc

for Secretary
Pub W. Deptt

23/6/27

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, dated 8th July 1927.

From

A.K. Syed Taj Peeran Esq., P.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., P.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.9/Muz.34-26-9 dated 4th July 19 calling for a report regarding the construction of a car to the Renukamba temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk, I have the hono invite your kind reference to this office letter No.C.683/25- dated 19th May 1927 stating that the estimate for car repair be sanctioned after cancelling the estimate for Yagasala work the same is received from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga.

From the Deputy Commissioner's letter No, Muz.A.C,609/2 dated 2nd July 1927 it is seen that the estimate is not either the Revenue Department or in the District Public Works Departm

This estimate was sent to the Chief Engineer and he has be requested to send it back. On its receipt further action will taken and a report submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Asst. Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.

VSM.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to....4-9.....

Section Mun
File No. 34-26
Serial No. R

No. 166

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mun)
the 192

To

The Chief Engr of Mys
Bangalore.

Date of issue 22-7-27

Signed by M. Venkatesa Iyengar

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. 11397 of 23.5.27 stating that the work of construction of a Yagasala to Sri Renukamba Temple at Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk has been proposed to be deferred for the present in preference to the construction of a car to same Temple, ~~which is more urgently required~~, I am to state that it is reported

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by 22/7

over

that the estim

~~the~~ ~~enclosed~~ car is

ing wish you and ~~it is~~, to

transmit

t you to expedite ~~same~~

of the same to Govt. -

I have etc

Secretary

to Govt

7.27

19-7-27

WD 1036—GPB—5000—16-12-25

No. 1204/1

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,.. 11. August...192 7.

REV. SECRETARIAT
13 AUG 1927
MUZRAI
0137

FROM

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR

With reference to your letter No.J66/Muz.31-32 dated the July 1927, re the construction of a car for the Sri Renukamba temple Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk, I have the honor to state that the estim for the above work has not been received in this office, but in repl to Muzrai Commissioner's letter No.C.683/25-26 dated the 8th July 19 (Copy enclosed) that officer is being informed that his letter No.C 683 of 25-26 dated the 22nd November 1926, with which the sanctioned estimate for Rs.3,650/- for Yagasala said to have been sent has not been received nor registered in this office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Jno T Bhore. 7.8.2

Chief Engineer of Mysore

HRR.2/7th.

Letter No.C.683/25-26 dated the 8th July 1927, from the Mu Commissioner in Mysore, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

---------- -------

I have the honour to state that the sanctioned estimate fo Rs.3,650/- for constructing a Yagasala to the Sri Renukamba temple a Chandragutti, Sorab Taluk, sent to you with this office letter No.C. of 25-26 dated 22nd November 1926 was not received back along with y letter No.B.5765 dated 17th December 1926. As the same is required now in connection with car repairs, I request that the estimate may kindly be returned at a very early date. It is ascertained that i is not either with the Deputy Commissioner or with the Executive Engineer, Shimoga.

"C o p y."

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

HRR/7th.

C1339/26-27
Copy owed
Estimate one

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,

Dated Bangalore the 25th August 1927.

REV SECRETA
27 AUG 1927
MUZRAI
0232

From

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore, B A N G A L O R E.

Mem 34-26-10

To

R. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. C. 683 of 26-26 dated 12-7-1927 Re: the construction of a car to the Sri Renukamba Temple at Chendragutti Sorab Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. Muz. 1 A.C. 609/26-27 dated 28th February 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District requesting sanction to the ----- construction of a car to the temple in preference to the repairs to the Yagasala and to state that the local officers and the Dharmadarsis of the temple propose that the construction of a new car at a cost of Rs. 2,431/- might be taken up immediately and that the question of ---- constructing the Yagasala might be postponed for the present as the --- Institution has not got sufficient balance at its credit for both the works.

In these circumstances I submit herewith for being cancelled the estimate for Rs. 3,650/- for constructions the Yagasala. On return of the same duly cancelled the estimate for Rs, 2,431/- for the ------- construction of a new car will be sanctioned by me, the cost being met from the cash and invested balance available at the credit of the ----- Institution. The balance according to the accounts kept in this office was Rs. 1,077/-/5 in cash on 1-7-1927 and Rs. 4,000/- invested in 6½% state Loan. I am convinced from my past experience of the District that a new car is absolutely necessary for this Institution.

I request the favour of early orders.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No. Muz. A.A.Ca 609/26-27 dated the 28th February 1927 From the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore, Bangalore .

Re. Temple construction of a car- Sri Remukamba Temple at Chendra-Gutti.

I have the honor to forward herewith for sanction duly counter-signed an estimate for Rs. 2431/- for constructing a car for the use of Sri Remukamba Temple at Chendragutti in the Sorab Taluk.

The temple is a major Muzrai Institution. It enjoys great ----- celebrity in these parts and the car festival is said to attract ------ thousands of devotees from Dharwar and South Canara Districts.

At present there is no car in the temple in use. The existing two cars are reported to have been quite out of repars . The Dharmadar sis state that the fact car procession is not bein conducted has tended to decrease the number of duties during the Jatra and to the consequent falling off in the collections. They urge the necessity of getting up a fresh car at the earliest oppurtunity.

The reason why this work was not taken up earlier is the shortage of funds at the credit of the Institution. A heavy sum of Rs. 5,000/- was appropriated from the invested securities of the temple as constribution towards the General Muzrai Funds (vide G.O. No. 1103-13 Muz 599-18-3 dated 28th September 1929) According to the statement of balances of Muzrai Institutions on 30-6-1925 appended to the Government Order No. 1475-86Muz. 271-25-2 dated the 19th May 1926 this temple has got a debit cash balance of Rs. 2898-12-7 . It is for this reason that the ------- construction of a Yagasala to the temple has been delayed for which an estimate was sanctioned in G.O. 342-4 Muz. 17-24-2 dated 29-8-1924.The question of reducing the dimentions of the proposed building so as to keep the costs with in the sanctioned estimate is under correspondence. But the Dharmadarsis and the devotees of the temple are of opinion that of the two works the construction of a Yagasala and construction of a car the latter is of more urgent nature and that since the funds of the Institution do not admit of both the works being undertaken, the construction of the Yagasala may be put off for the present and that the car taken up at once . The Amildar and the S.D.O. are both of the same opinion . It is reported that the temple has an investment of Rs. 5,709/-15/9 in the marginally noted Government securities.

The Dharmadarsis propose to sell these securities to the exte

Rs. 1,709-15-9 .... 3½%Government of India Notes.) necessary and --
Rs. 4,000/- .....6¼ % Mysore State Loan Bonds.)
Rs. 5,709-15-9) utilise the amou

realised for the construction of the car. They further porpose to the Yagasala estimate cancelled now for being taken up later on, whe sufficient funds accumulate to the credit of the institution. In t circumstances explained above I am of opinion that the proposals are reasonable and request that early sanction of Government may kindly b obtained thereto.

The records relating to the construction of the Yagasala have been called for from the Sub Division Officer, Sagar and the same is submitted after receipt for cancellation of the estimate.

The vernacular file of records ending with the report No . 66 27 dated 27-1-27 from the Sub Division Officer sagar are herewith --- enclosed for favor of reference.

" C O P Y "

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Muz. 7]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....232.....

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

the *192* .

Date of issue... 6-9-27

Signed by... Mr M. Venkatesa Iyengar

SECTION Muz

FILE No. 34-26

SERIAL No. 11

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— 1) G. No. 342-44/Muz 17-8-29-8-24 sanctioning an estimate for Rs 3650/- for the construction of a Yagasala the Sri Renukamba Temple at Chandragutti in the Sorab Taluk.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No C 1339 of 26-27 & Order Thereon No. 26-8-27 from the Muz: Court in Mysore stating that the Dharmadarsis of the temple and the local officers propose that the construction of a new

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

AG

RS

8/9

at a cost of Rs 2431 might be taken up immediately and that the question of constructing the Yagasala might be postponed for the present, as the institution has not got sufficient balance at its credit for both the works; and requesting, therefore, that the ~~cost~~ sanctioned estimate for Rs 3,650 for reroofing the Yagasala may be cancelled.

Order:- 4157 & 6-9-27 Encl one file to M-C.

The sanctd estimate for Rs 3650 is cancelled. The estimate for Rs 2431 for the construction of a new car may be sanctd. by the Mug: Coun. as proposed and the cost met from the cash and invested balance at the credit of the institution.

The recommendation of the Mug: Coun. is approved. The Estimate for Rs 3650 for the reroofing of a Yagasala is cancelled.

List of enclosures.

Opined by

Examined by

Secretary

F. Deputy

WD 0566—2000—GPE—29-7-22

9.9.27

The Mug: Coun., returning the estimate cancelled

-Cle: Engr. of Mysore
Compliance to Govt.